California Public Resources Code Section 21003 (f) states: "...it is the policy of the state that...[a]ll persons and public agencies involved in the environmental review process be responsible for carrying out the process in the most efficient, expeditious manner in order to conserve the available financial, governmental, physical, and social resources with the objective that those resources may be better applied toward the mitigation of actual significant effects on the environment." This policy is reflected in the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines (Guidelines) Section 15126.2(a), which states that "[a]n EIR [Environmental Impact Report] shall identify and focus on the significant environmental impacts of the proposed project" and Section 15143, which states that "[t]he EIR shall focus on the significant effects on the environment." The Guidelines allow use of an Initial Study to document project effects that are less than significant (Guidelines Section 15063[a]). Guidelines Section 15128 requires that an EIR contain a statement briefly indicating the reasons that various possible significant effects of a project were determined not to be significant, and were therefore not discussed in detail in the Draft EIR.

#### 8.1 ASSESSMENT IN THE INITIAL STUDY

The Initial Study prepared for the proposed project in February 2016 determined that impacts listed below would be less than significant. Consequently, they have not been further analyzed in this Draft EIR (DEIR). Please refer to Appendix A for explanation of the basis of these conclusions. Impact categories and questions below are summarized directly from the CEQA Environmental Checklist, as contained in the Initial Study.

Table 8-1 Impacts Found Not to Be Significant

Environmental Issues	Initial Study Determination		
I. AESTHETICS. Would the project:			
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	Less Than Significant		
II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES. In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:			
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?      b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	No Impact		
b) Confined with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a williamson Act contract?	No Impact		

August 2016 Page 8-1

Table 8-1 Impacts Found Not to Be Significant

Tui	Environmental Issues	Initial Study Determination			
-0)		Illitial Study Determination			
c)	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public	No Impact			
	Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?	TVO IIIIpact			
d)	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	No Impact			
e)	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location	TVO IMPACT			
٥,	or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or	No Impact			
	conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				
	III. AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or				
	pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following del Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	1			
e)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Less Than Significant			
	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special				
	status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the	Less Than Significant			
	California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive				
-,	natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or	No Immost			
	by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife	No Impact			
	Service?				
c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by				
	Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal	No Impact			
	pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory				
u)	fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife	Less Than Significant			
	corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	,			
e)	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources,	Less Than Significant			
	such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	Less man significant			
f)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural				
	Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state	No Impact			
V C	habitat conservation plan?				
a)	CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:  Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource				
<u>a)</u>	as defined in §15064.5?	Less Than Significant			
d)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal comptonics?	Less Than Significant			
W	vi. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:				
a)	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including				
	the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
	i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent				
	Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map, issued by the State	Less Than Significant			
	Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
	iv) Landslides?	No Impact			
e)	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or	The impact			
~ <i>)</i>	alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for	No Impact			
	the disposal of waste water?	, p. 44-4			
	·	•			

Page 8-2

PlaceWorks

Table 8-1 Impacts Found Not to Be Significant

ole 8-1 Impacts Found Not to Be Significant	Later Charles D. J. J. J.		
	Initial Study Determination		
transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	Less Than Significant		
foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	Less Than Significant		
Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	No Impact		
Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	No Impact		
For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	No Impact		
response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	Less Than Significant		
Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	No Impact		
HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:			
Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	Less Than Significant		
Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	No Impact		
redirect flood flows?	No Impact		
Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	No Impact		
Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	No Impact		
AND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:			
Physically divide an established community?	No Impact		
Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	No Impact		
Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be a	No Impact		
Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery	No Impact		
For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	No Impact		
For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	No Impact		
	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonable foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?  Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?  Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?  For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?  Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?  Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?  HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:  Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?  Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?  Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?  Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?  Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?  MIDERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:  Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral reso		

August 2016 Page 8-3

Table 8-1 Impacts Found Not to Be Significant

	Environmental Issues	Initial Study Determination			
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:					
b)	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	No Impact			
c)	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	No Impact			
	XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the				
	vision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for n				
	ilities, the construction of which could cause significant environment				
ser	vice ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any o	f the public services:			
e)	Other public facilities?	Less Than Significant			
XV. RECREATION.					
b)	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	Less Than Significant			
XVI	XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:				
c)	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	No Impact			
d)	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	Less Than Significant			
e)	Result in inadequate emergency access?	Less Than Significant			
f)	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?	Less Than Significant			
XVI	XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:				
a)	Exceed waste water treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	Less Than Significant			
f)	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	Less Than Significant			
g)	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	Less Than Significant			

Page 8-4

PlaceWorks